Case 2:24-cv-00081-APG-DJA Document 15 Filed 03/07/24 Page 1 of 3

Pursuant to LR IA 6-1, Plaintiffs Edgar Mejia and DuJun Johnson, and Defendant MGM Resorts International ("MGM") (collectively, the "Parties") respectfully stipulate MGM's time to respond to the Complaint be extended from the current deadline of March 11, 2024 to and including April 10, 2024. This is the second stipulation for an extension of time to file MGM's responsive pleading. The court previously granted an extension on January 17, 2024. ECF No. 5.

Good cause exists to enlarge the time for MGM to respond to the Complaint. There are currently thirteen other related actions filed against MGM pending in this District (the "Related Actions"). See Owens v. MGM Resorts Int'l, No. 2:23-cv-01480; Kirwan v. MGM Resorts Int'l, No. 2:23-cv-01481; Zussman v. VICI Properties 1 LLC, et al., No. 2:23-cv-01537; Lackey v. MGM Resorts Int'l, No. 2:23-cv-01549; Pircio v. MGM Resorts Int'l, No. 2:23-cv-01550; Terezo v. MGM Resorts Int'l, No. 2:23-cv-01577; Rundell v. MGM Resorts Int'l, No. 2:23-cv-01698; Bezak v. MGM Resorts Int'l, No. 2:23-cv-01719; Albrigo v. MGM Resorts Int'l, No. 2:23-cv-01777; Manson v. MGM Resorts Int'l, No. 2:23-cv-01826; Sloan v. MGM Resorts Int'l, et al., No. 2:23-cv-2042; Righetti v. MGM Resorts Int'l, No. 2:23-cv-2064.

On December 6 and December 20, 2023, plaintiffs' counsel in six consolidated putative class actions brought against MGM by individuals who allege their PII was compromised as a result of a cybersecurity incident involving MGM in 2019 (the "2019 Actions") filed Notices of Related Cases Pursuant to District of Nevada Local Rule 42.1, notifying the Court that the Related Actions are related to the 2019 Actions. *In re: MGM Resorts Int'l Data Breach Litig.*, No. 2:20-CV-00376-GMN-NJK, ECF Nos. 186, 188. Plaintiffs in the Related Actions have opposed this effort because the 2019 Actions involved a different threat actor and different data. No order has issued on the notices filed by the plaintiffs in the 2019 Actions, and MGM has not responded to any other Complaint in the Related Actions.

The parties in the Related Actions are discussing this development in addition to the consolidation of the Related Actions. The parties in the Related Actions have also engaged

1	in preliminary information exchange that may avoid the need for certain motion practice	
2	which would conserve judicial resources. As such, additional time is required to permit time	
3	to meet and confer with the various parties to the Related Actions.	
4	The Parties' request is made in good faith to enable the parties to finalize the join	
5	motion for consolidation and conserve judicial and party resources. Moreover, this case is	
6	in its infancy, and this request will not prejudice any party.	
7	WHEREAS the Parties respectfully request that MGM shall have until April 10	
8	2024, to answer, move, or otherwise respond to the Complaint.	
9	Dated: March 7, 2024	Respectfully submitted,
10		By: /s/ Angel Getsov
11		Angel Getsov Michael Kind
12		KIND LAW 8860 South Maryland Parkway, Suite 106
13		Las Vegas, Nevada Email: <u>mk@kindlaw.com</u>
14		Attorney for Plaintiffs and the Proposed Class
15		By: <u>/s/ Todd L. Bice</u> Todd L. Bice
16		PISANELLI BICE, PLLC 400 S. 7th Street Suite 300
17		Las Vegas, NV 89101
18		tlb@pisanellibice.com
19		Angela C. Agrusa DLA PIPER LLP (US) 2000 Asserting of the Starts
20		2000 Avenue of the Stars Suite 400 North Tower
21		Los Angeles, CA 90067-4735 Angela.agrusa@us.dlapiper.com
22		Attorneys for Defendant
23		MGM Resorts International
24	Mario co	ODERED
25	11 15 50	ORDERED:
26		J. ALBREGTS
27	UNITED	STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE
28	DATED:	March 8, 2024
	1	